



UNIK4750 - Measurable Security for the Internet of Things

L10 – Multi-Metrics Analysis

*György Kálmán,
Mnemonic/CCIS/UNIK
gyorgy@unik.no*

*Josef Noll
UiO/UNIK
josef@unik.no*

Overview



- Your project (how to collaborate?)
 - [Google \(UNIK4710\)](#), [Piazza](#), ...
- Recap: Security Ontologies (last 6 slides of L8)
- Learning outcomes L10
- Use case (application) SocialMobility
- Values for Security, Privacy
- Analyse the system of systems
- Identify Security, Privacy attributes and functionality for a sub-system
- Multi-Metrics analysis
- Future work

Expected Learning outcomes

Having followed the lecture, you can

- establish a scenario/use case
- provide application examples
- provide reasons for the choice of s,p,d
- establish a system architecture with sub-systems and components
- explain the Multi-Metrics method
- (prepare for your own work)


Multi-Metrics Methodology for Assessment of Security, Privacy, and Dependability (SPD)



Thanks to our
colleagues
from SHIELD
for the
collaboration

» Iñaki Equia, Frode van der Laak, Seraj Fayyad, Cecilia Coveri, Konstantinos Fysarakis, George Hatzivasilis, Balázs Berkes, Josef Noll


Methodology: From System description to SPD level



- System: Automatic Meter System (AMS) consists of reader (AMR), aggregator, communications, storage, user access
- Sub-systems: AMR consists of power monitor, processing unit, communication unit
- Component: AMR communication contains of a baseband processing, antenna, wireless link
- Configuration Parameter: Wireless link: $f=868$ MHz, output power=?, Encryption=?


Social Mobility Main Focus

- Focus on «entry the industrial market»
- Identified challenges
 - ➔ industry «needs security» - with entry models
 - ➔ Communication module
 - ➔ Role-based access
 - ➔ Middleware (Multi Metrics v2)
- System Security, Privacy and Dependability is assessed
- System_{SPD} is compared to Goals_{SPD}



Multi-Metrics_{v2} - system composition

- System consists of sub-systems consists of components
 - ➔ security
 - ➔ privacy
 - ➔ dependability




SHIELD Multi Metrics Approach



- Security, Privacy and Dependability
 - » Specific application
 - » Social Mobility: privacy scenario

		SPD _{Goal}	SPD level	
Scenario 1	Conf. A	(s,80,d)	(s,100,d)	(s, ● , d)
	Conf. B		(s,80,d)	(s, ● , d)
	Conf. C		(s,80,d)	(s, ● , d)

- Multi-Metrics approach to assess the SPD of a system
 - » Provides a snapshot of the current state of the system
 - » Metrics for SPD parameters of sensors, network, service access
 - » Metrics $M_1 \dots M_x$, e.g. Network latency, Protection level



- Individual Metrics scaling SPD_{M1}(20,5,10)
 - » Parametrisation of assessment, e.g. latency = 50 ms -> S:acceptable
 - » Subjective translation into SPD severity
 - » Operational ranges defined as ideal, good, acceptable, critical, failure
 - » Max influence on the S,P,D value (estimate)




- Metrics combination to provide an SPD tripple: (60, 30, 70)



Multi-Metrics components




- Components have a security, privacy and dependability factor.
- Metrics assess the components



SHIELD Multi Metrics_{v2}




- Metrics to SPD conversion
 - » Parametrisation of system parameters, e.g. latency -> [ms]
 - » SPD regression: «SPD value and importance for the system»
 - » parameter into S,P,D value range, e.g. latency=50ms :=> (ideal, good, acceptable, critical, failure)
 - » Scaling according to System Importance, e.g. latency :=> $S_{\max}=30$, $P_{\max}=10$, $D_{\max}=20$
 - » Assignment of SPD values, e.g. latency=50 ms
- Metrics combination to provide SPD_{System} : (60, 30, 70)
 - » Mathematical combination, e.g. $S_{\text{System}}=100 - \text{SQRT}(S_1^2+S_2^2+\dots S_x^2)$




Example: Privacy in a Social Mobility Use Case

- Social Mobility, including social networks, here: loan of vehicle
- Shall I monitor the user?
- «User behaves»: privacy ensured
- «User drives to fast»: track is visible
- «Crash»: emergency actions



Social Mobility Use Case

- Social Mobility, including social networks, here: loan of vehicle




- Sc1: privacy ensured, «user behaves»
 - Sc2: track is visible as user drives too fast
 - Sc3: Crash, emergency actions
- Industrial applicability: Truck operation (Volvo), Autonomous operations on building places, add sensors (eye control)

Social Mobility Components



Applicable nSHIELD Components (Px):

- 1- Lightweight Cyphering (P1)
- 2- Key exchange (P2)
- 3- Anonymity & Location Privacy (P10)
- 4- Automatic Access Control (P11)
- 5- Recognizing DoS Attack (P13)
- 6- Intrusion Detection System (P15)
- 7- Attack surface metrics (P28)
- 8- Embedded SIM, sensor (P38)
- 9- Multimetrics (P27)




Communication Subsystem Metrics



(SPD) Metrics

- ➔ Port metric
- ➔ Communication channel
- ➔ GPRS message rate
- ➔ SMS rate
- ➔ Encryption



Social Mobility - Examples of Metrics



GPRS message rate metric

Parameter(sec)	0.5	1	2	5	10	20	60	120	∞
Cp	80	60	45	30	20	15	10	5	0

Encryption metric

Parameter	No encryption	Key 64 bits	Key 128 bits	Not applicable
Cp	88	10	5	0

Metrics weighting

Port (M1), $w = 100$

Communication channel (M2), $w = 100$

GPRS message rate (M3), $w = 80$

SMS message rate (M4), $w = 20$

Encryption (M5), $w = 100$




Multi-Metrics subsystem evaluation

	Criticality					SPD _P			
	C1	C2	C3	C4	Sub-Sys.		Scen. 1	Scen. 2	Scen. 3
SPD _{Goal}							(s,80,d)	(s,50,d)	(s,5,d)
Multi-Metrics Elements	M1	M2	M3 ∩ M4	M5	C1... ∩ ...C4				
Conf. A	30	20	0	5	17	83	●	●	●
Conf. B	61	20	4	5	32	68	●	●	●
Conf. C	41	20	9	5	23	77	●	●	●
Conf. D	82	41	2	10	45	55	●	●	●
Conf. E	82	41	18	10	45	55	●	●	●
Conf. F	83	41	27	10	47	53	●	●	●
Conf. G	82	42	4	88	70	30	●	●	●
Conf. H	82	42	40	88	73	27	●	●	●
Conf. I	83	42	72	88	Alarm	21	●	●	●

Run-Through Example

Multi-Metrics_{v2} - system composition


- here: communication sub-system vehicle $\leftrightarrow$ backend
 - ➔ Port metric
 - ➔ Communication channel
 - ➔ GPRS message rate
 - ➔ SMS rate
 - ➔ Encryption



Configurations Communication Subsystem



Scenario 1 "privacy"	Conf. A	SSH
	Conf. B	SSH + SNMP trap
	Conf. C	SSH + SNMP
Scenario 2 "parents"	Conf. D	SSH + SNMP trap + SMS
	Conf. E	SSH + SNMP trap + SMS
	Conf. F	SSH + SNMP trap + SNMP + SMS
Scenario 3 "emergency"	Conf. G	SSH + SNMP trap + SMS
	Conf. H	SSH + SNMP trap + SMS
	Conf. I	SSH + SNMP trap + SNMP + SMS



Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) is an Internet-standard protocol for collecting and organizing information about managed devices on IP networks and for modifying that information to change device behavior. [Wikipedia]
SNMP trap = alerts

Metrics & weight (only privacy)

1) Port metric, weight $w_p=40$

	C_p	SPD_p
SNMP (UDP) 161 in the ES	40	60
SNMP trap (UDP) 162 in the BE	60	40
SSH (TCP) 23 in the ES	30	70
SMS	80	20

2) Communication channel metric, weight $w_p=20$

	C_p	SPD_p
<i>GPRS with GEA/3</i>	20	80
<i>SMS over GSM with A5/1</i>	40	60

4) SMS message rate metric $w_p=20$ 0,1, or 2 messages $SPD_p=90-100$

5) Encryption metric $w_p=60$

	C_p	SPD_p
<i>No encryption</i>	88	12
<i>Key 64 bits</i>	10	90
<i>Key 128 bits</i>	5	95
<i>Not applicable</i>	0	100

3) GPRS message rate metric $w_p=80$

<i>message delay</i>	C_p	SPD_p
<i>0.5 sec</i>	80	20
<i>1 sec</i>	60	40
<i>2 sec</i>	45	65
<i>5 sec</i>	30	70
<i>10 sec</i>	20	80
<i>20 sec</i>	15	85
<i>60 sec</i>	10	90
<i>120 sec</i>	5	95
<i>No messages</i>	0	100

Metrics analysis



		Metric 1	Metric 2	Metric 3	Metric 4	Sum	Cp	SPDp
Scenario 1 "privacy"	Conf. A	232	52	0	10	294	17	83
	Conf. B	960	52	4	10	1 025	32	68
	Conf. C	434	52	18	10	513	23	77
Scenario 2 "parents"	Conf. D	1 735	217	1	39	1 992	45	55
	Conf. E	1 735	217	73	39	2 064	45	55
	Conf. F	1 778	217	165	39	2 198	47	53
Scenario 3 "emergency"	Conf. G	1 735	228	4	2 998	4 964	70	30
	Conf. H	1 735	228	361	2 998	5 322	73	27
	Conf. I	1 778	228	1 171	2 998	6 174	79	21

sum of weight: 155

Multi-Metrics subsystem evaluation


	Criticality					SPD _P			
	C1	C2	C3	C4	Sub-Sys.		Scen. 1	Scen. 2	Scen. 3
SPD _{Goal}							(s,80,d)	(s,50,d)	(s,5,d)
Multi-Metrics Elements	M1	M2	M3 ∩ M4	M5	C1... ∩ ...C4				
Conf. A	30	20	0	5	17	83	●	●	●
Conf. B	61	20	4	5	32	68	●	●	●
Conf. C	41	20	9	5	23	77	●	●	●
Conf. D	82	41	2	10	45	55	●	●	●
Conf. E	82	41	18	10	45	55	●	●	●
Conf. F	83	41	27	10	47	53	●	●	●
Conf. G	82	42	4	88	70	30	●	●	●
Conf. H	82	42	40	88	73	27	●	●	●
Conf. I	83	42	72	88	Alarm	21	●	●	●

Conclusions

- SHIELD is the security methodology developed through JU Artemis/ECSEL
- Security, Privacy, and Dependability (SPD) assessment
- Social Mobility Use-Case: loan a car
 - ➔ «behave» - full privacy awareness -> $SPD_{goal} = (s, 80, d)$
 - ➔ «speeding» - limited privacy -> $SPD_{goal} = (s, 50, d)$
 - ➔ «accident» - no privacy -> $SPD_{goal} = (s, 5, d)$
- 11 configurations assessed
 - ➔ 2 satisfy «behave», 3 satisfy «speeding», 0 satisfies «accident»
- Goal: apply SHIELD methodology in various industrial domains

Upcoming lectures

- L11: perform Multi-Metrics for a Smart Meter (AMR)



- applying Multi-Metrics on your own

